## Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE PAGE 01 COTONO 01116 130859Z 12 **ACTION AID-31** INFO OCT-01 AF-10 IO-14 ISO-00 AGR-20 INT-08 INR-10 PC-10 USIA-15 DODE-00 COME-00 DRC-01 RSC-01 /121 W ----- 110400 R 121633Z JUL 74 FM AMEMBASSY COTONOU TO SECSTATE WASHDC 6365 INFO AMEMBASSY ABIDJAN AMEMBASY ACCRA AMEMBASSY BAMAKO AMEMBASSY BANGUI AMEMBASSY BONN AMEMBASSY CONAKRY AMEMBASSY DAKAR AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE AMEMBASSY LAGOS AMEMBASSY LOME AMEMBASSY LONDON AMEMBASSY NDJAMENA AMEMBASSY NIAMEY AMEMBASSY NOUAKCHOTT AMEMBASSY OTTAWA AMEMBASSY OUAGADOUGOU AMEMBASSY PARIS AMEMBASSY ROME AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM USMISSION EC BRUSSELS USMISSION USUN NY LIMITED OFFICIAL USE COTONOU 1116 AFDROUGHT EO 11652: N/A TAGS: EAID, DM SUBJ: WHAT SHOLD WE DO ABOUT OSRO? LIMITED OFFICIAL USE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE PAGE 02 COTONO 01116 130859Z

DAKAR FOR SNYDER

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

## **REF COTONOU 1114**

- 1. EMBASSY COMMENTS ON SAHELIAN LOGISTICS MEETING HELD COTONOU JULY 8-9 FOLLOW. FOR SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS OF MEETING, SEE REF.
- 2. WOULD APPEAR, BASED ON OUR DETAILED KNOWLEDGE OF NIGER LOG-ISTICS SITUATION, THAT LITTLE NEW IN WAY OF COMMITMENT OR KNOWLEDGE CAME OUT OF CONFERENCE. ON ALMOST ALL OUESTIONS. DONR REPS AGREED ONLY TO REFER REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL COMMIT-MENTS TO THEIR HEADQUARTERS OR TO FURTHER EXAMINATION OF PROBLEM. ONE OF FEW CONCRETE PROPOSALS WAS FORMATION PORT COMMITTEE. HOWEVER, DRAFTER OF CONCLUSIONS FORGOT THAT NIGERIA AND ABIDJAN HAVE FUNCTIONING COMMITTEES. SEEMED TO MOST OF THOSE WITH WHOM I DISCUSSED MATTER THAT BULK OF INFO DISSEMINATED AT MEETING COULD HAVE BEEN CONVEYED BY TELEX AND FEW DECISIONS TAKEN COULD HAVE BEEN MADE BY LOCAL REPS OR AT EARLIER ROME MEETING. THUS, ONE WONDERS WHY MEETING WAS HELD. PERHAPS AUTHORS OF MEETING HAD IN MIND INTANGIBLE BENEFITS OF DEMONSTRATING CONCERN OF IN-TERNATIONAL COMMUNITY TO RECIPIENT AND TRANSITING COASTAL COUNTRIES. MEETING DID FOCUS ATTENTION ON IMPORTANT, ALBEIT WELL KNOWN OR OBVIOUS, LOGISTICS PROBLEMS, FURTHER, IT DID SUCCEED IN PUTTING SOME PRESSURE ON FORWARDING AGENTS, PORT AND RAILWAY OFFICIALS. HOWEVER, CUSTOMARY RELUCTANCE TO OPEN CRITICISM AND POSSIBLE CONFLICT BY INTERNATIONAL CIVIL SERVANTS LIMITED EFFECT OF LATTER.
- 3. JUDGING FROM OPTIC OF ONE DEEPLY ENGAGED IN PROBLEM BUT RESIDENT IN AFRICA, COTONOU MEETING DID HAVE SOME BENEFICIAL EFFECTS. BUT, IT SEEMS DOUBTFUL THESE WOULD STAND UP TO AN UNBIASED COST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS. THE COST IN MONEY AND WORK INTERRUPTION OF SOME 40-50 KEY MANAGERS FROM THREE CONTINENTS SEEMS HARDLY JUSTIFIED BY THE CONFERENCE'S RESULTS. WHILE A SERIES OF SUCH INTERNATIONAL MEETINGS LENDS GLAMOR AND DRAMA TO THE LIVES OF PARTICIPANTS, IS THIS THE BEST WAY TO IMPROVE THE EFFICIENCY OF MOVEMENTS OF FOOD STUFFS TO THE SAHELIAN DROUGHT VICTIMS? I THINK NOT\*
- 4. PRESUMABLY, THE MAJOR OBJECTIVES OF THE SERIES OF CONFERENCES WHICH BEGAN IN ROME SHOULD HAVE BEEN LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 COTONO 01116 130859Z

TO IMPROVE COORDINATION OF THE LOGISTICS OPERATIONS AND TO SORT OUT BOTTLENECKS. I BELIEVE A FAR MORE EFFICACIOUS WAY OF MEETING THESE OBJECTIVES WOULD BE TO MOVE OSRO FROM ITS COMPARATIVE ISOLATION IN ROME TO A SITE IN AFRICA. HEADQUARTERED CLOSER TO THE PROBLEM, ORSO SHOULD BE STRENGTHENED WITH MORE PROFESSIONAL SATFF AND ADEQUATE COMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES. THE ONLY ARGUMENT WHICH I HAVE HEARD FOR OSRO REMAINING IN ITALY IS THAT IT IS SITUATED CLOSE TO DONORS. THIS SURELY HAS LITTLE VALIDITY.

ITALY IS ABLE TO CONTRIBUTE LITTLE TO SAHELIAN RELIEF. PROX-IMITY TO FAO HEADQUARTERS ALSO SEEMS OF MARGINAL UTILITY. IT IS ALMOST AS EASY TO REACH DONOR CAPITALS FROM A CENTRAL SITE IN WEST AFRICA AS IT IS FROM ROME. IN FACT, TOO CLOSE INVOLVE-MENT IN THE BYZANTINE FAO BUREAUCRACY MAY ITSELF BE INHIBITING. IN TERMS OF EASE OF COMMUNICATION WITH DONOR AND RECIPIENTS, A CITY LIKE ABIDJAN HAS FAR GREATER ADVANTAGES WITH FEW OF THE DISADVANTAGES OF ROME. SITING OF OSRO IN AFRICA, WITH ITS OWN INDEPENDENT COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK, WOULD CERTAINLY ENHANCE VISUAL EVIDENCE OF SOLIDARITY WITH DROUGHT VICTIMS, AND MAKE COORDINATION OF LOGISTICS SYSTEM INFINITELY EASIER. IF REQUIRED, DONOR REPS COULD BE DETAINED TO ORSRO IN ABIDJAN. MOST DONORS ALREADY HAVE SUBSTANTIAL DIPLOMATIC AND/OR ASSISTANCE ESTABLISH-MENTS RESIDENT IN THE CITY. THIS IS NOT TO SAY ABIDJAN IS ONLY POSSIBILITY, OTHER AFRICAN CITIES MIGHT ALSO BE CONSIDERED. SINCE IT APPEARS THE NEED FOR LARGE-SCALE FOOD IMPORTS WILL NOT DISAPPEAR QUICKLY, WE SHOULD BEGIN TO ORGANIZE FOR A LONGER, LESS CRISIS-ORIENTED OPERATION RATHER THAN RELYING ON PRESENT MAKE-SHIFT SOLUTIONS. MCNAMARA

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: DISASTER RELIEF, AFDROUGHT, MEETINGS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 12 JUL 1974 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974COTONO01116

Document Number: 1974COTONO01116 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: N/A Film Number: D740188-0149

From: COTONOU Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740754/aaaabunh.tel Line Count: 135 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION AID

Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: COTONOU 1114

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 08 APR 2002

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <08 APR 2002 by kelleyw0>; APPROVED <20 JUN 2002 by golinofr>

**Review Markings:** 

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: WHAT SHOLD WE DO ABOUT OSRO? LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

TAGS: EAID, DM, US

To: STATE

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005